



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,399	02/05/2002	Ramkartik Mulukutla	020431.1021	4786

5073 7590 04/11/2005

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
2001 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 600
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER

ALI, MOHAMMAD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2167

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,399	MULUKUTLA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2167	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) _____.

 (2) Eric M. Grabski (Reg. No. 51,749).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6/1/05
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2 and 4-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone was made by the Examiner to Applicant's representative for discussion about the 101 issues to the claims and also, possibly to allow the case to make Examiner's amendment. The representative agreed about the 101 issues and authorize Examiner to amend the claims to overcome such kinds of deficiency and also amend the claims the way Examiner suggested in order to allow by the Examiner's Amendment. Examiner had used wrong Interview Form. Attorney, Brian Harriss (Reg. No. 48,383) inquiry on April 8, 2005 that do they have to respond the earlier Interview Summary, the Examiner stated that actually they don't have to because it was Examiner Initiated Interview..